INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1Q

Second edition
2020-08

AMENDMENT 3
2021-09

Telecommunications and exchange between information technology systems — Requirements for local and metropolitan area networks —

Part 1Q: Bridges and bridged networks

AMENDMENT 3: Virtual station interface (VSI) discovery and configuration protocol (VDP) extension to support network virtualization overlays over layer 3 (NVO3)

Télécommunications et échange entre systèmes informatiques — Exigences pour les réseaux locaux et métropolitains —

Partie 1Q: Ponts et réseaux pontés

AMENDEMENT 3

Reference number
ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1Q:2020/Amd.3:2021(E)

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc
3 Park Avenue, New York
NY 10016-5997, USA

Email: stds.ipr@ieee.org
Website: www.ieee.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO/IEC documents should be noted.

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see patents.iec.ch).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-1Q:2020/Amd 3 was prepared by the LAN/MAN of the IEEE Computer Society (as IEEE Std 802.1Qcy-2019) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules. It was adopted, under the "fast-track procedure" defined in the Partner Standards Development Organization cooperation agreement between ISO and IEEE, by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Telecommunications and information exchange between systems*.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

IEEE Std 802.1Qcy™-2019
(Amendment to IEEE Std 802.1Q™-2018
as amended by IEEE Std 802.1Qcp™-2018
and IEEE Std 802.1Qcc™-2018)

IEEE Standard for
Local and Metropolitan Area Networks—

Bridges and Bridged Networks

Amendment 32: Virtual Station Interface (VSI) Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP) Extension to Support Network Virtualization Overlays Over Layer 3 (NVO3)

Sponsor

LAN/MAN Standards Committee
of the
IEEE Computer Society

Approved 21 March 2019

IEEE-SA Standards Board

Abstract: Extensions to the Virtual Station Interface (VSI) Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP) to support using the protocol between an end station and a device doing encapsulation/ decapsulation for Network Virtualization Overlays Over Layer 3 (NVO3) are specified in this amendment to IEEE Std 802.1Q-2018. The extensions include adding format types [e.g., Internet Protocol (IP) addresses] and enhancing indication of migration events.

Keywords: amendment, IEEE 802.1Q™, IEEE 802.1Qcy™, NVO3, VDP extension, VDP IP address extension

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

PDF: ISBN 978-1-5044-5669-2 STD23619
Print: ISBN 978-1-5044-5670-8 STDPD23619

Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers, or a reference to this page, appear in all standards and may be found under the heading "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents." They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at https://standards.ieee.org/ipr/disclaimers.html.

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents (standards, recommended practices, and guides), both full-use and trial-use, are developed within IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association ("IEEE-SA") Standards Board. IEEE ("the Institute") develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute ("ANSI"), which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. IEEE Standards are documents developed through scientific, academic, and industry-based technical working groups. Volunteers in IEEE working groups are not necessarily members of the Institute and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

IEEE Standards do not guarantee or ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers and users of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.

IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained in its standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties (express, implied and statutory) not included in this or any other document relating to the standard, including, but not limited to, the warranties of: merchantability; fitness for a particular purpose; non-infringement; and quality, accuracy, effectiveness, currency, or completeness of material. In addition, IEEE disclaims any and all conditions relating to: results; and workmanlike effort. IEEE standards documents are supplied "AS IS" and "WITH ALL FAULTS."

Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

Translations

The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE should be considered the approved IEEE standard.

Official statements

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered or inferred to be the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, or be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE.

Comments on standards

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. However, IEEE does not provide consulting information or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. For the same reason, IEEE does not respond to interpretation requests. Any person who would like to participate in revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group.

Comments on standards should be submitted to the following address:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854 USA

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

IEEE draft and approved standards are copyrighted by IEEE under U.S. and international copyright laws. They are made available by IEEE and are adopted for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to the documents.

Photocopies

Subject to payment of the appropriate fee, IEEE will grant users a limited, non-exclusive license to photocopy portions of any individual standard for company or organizational internal use or individual, non-commercial use only. To arrange for payment of licensing fees, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Updating of IEEE Standards documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. A current IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect.

Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every ten years. When a document is more than ten years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit the IEEE-SA Website at https://ieeexplore.ieee.org or contact IEEE at the address listed previously. For more information about the IEEE SA or IEEE's standards development process, visit the IEEE-SA Website at https://standards.ieee.org.

Errata

Errata, if any, for all IEEE standards can be accessed on the IEEE-SA Website at the following URL: https://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE-SA Website at https://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

Participants

At the time this amendment was submitted to the IEEE-SA Standards Board for approval, the IEEE 802.1 Working Group had the following membership:

Glenn Parsons, *Chair*
John Messenger, *Vice Chair*
János Farkas, *Chair, Time Sensitive Networking Task Group*
Yizhou Li, *Editor*
Paul Bottorff, *Editor*

Ralf Assmann
Shenghua Bao
Jens Bierschenk
Steinar Bjornstad
Christian Boiger
Radhakrishna Canchi
David Chen
Feng Chen
Weiying Cheng
Paul Congdon
Rodney Cummings
Hesham Elbakoury
Norman Finn
Geoffrey Garner
Eric Gray
Craig Gunther
Marina Gutierrez
Stephen Haddock
Mark Hantel
Marc Holness
Satoko Itaya
Lokesh Kabra
Michael Karl
Stephan Kehrer
Hajime Koto
Christophe Mangin
Scott Mansfield
Kenichi Maruhashi
James McIntosh
Tero Mustala
Tomoki Ohsawa
Hiroshi Ohue
Donald R. Pannell
Walter Pienciak
Michael Potts
Wei Qiu
Karen Randall
Maximilian Riegel
Jessy Rouyer
Atsushi Sato
Frank Schewe
Michael Seaman
Johannes Specht
Patricia Thaler
Paul Unbehagen
Xinyuan Wang
Tongtong Wang
Hao Wang
Karl Weber
Brian Weis
Ludwig Winkel
Jordon Woods
Takahiro Yamaura
Xiang Yu
Nader Zein

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this amendment. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Thomas Alexander
Butch Anton
Stefan Aust
Harry Bims
Paul Bottorff
Nancy Bravin
Demetrio Bucaneg
William Byrd
Xin Chang
De Chen
Keith Chow
Marc Emmelmann
János Farkas
Yukihiro Fujimoto
Eric W. Gray
Randall Groves
Marco Hernandez
Werner Hoelzl
Noriyuki Ikeuchi
Atsushi Ito
Raj Jain
Sangkwon Jeong
Piotr Karocki
Stuart Kerry
Yongbum Kim
Hyeong Ho Lee
Li Li
Xiuyan Li
Xiaohui Liu
Michael Lynch
Elvis Maculuba
Roger Marks
John Messenger
Nick S. A. Nikjoo
Satoshi Obara
Robert O'Hara
Bansi Patel
Clinton Powell
Adee Ran
Alon Regev
Maximilian Riegel
Robert Robinson
Jessy Rouyer
Manikantan Srinivasan
Thomas Starai
Walter Struppler
Patricia Thaler
Mark-Rene Uchida
Dmitri Varsanofiev
George Vlantis
Khurram Waheed
Hung-Yu Wei
Andreas Wolf
Oren Yuen

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this amendment on 21 March 2019, it had the following membership:

Gary Hoffman, *Chair*
Ted Burse, *Vice Chair*
Jean-Philippe Faure, *Past Chair*
Konstantinos Karachalios, *Secretary*

Masayuki Ariyoshi
Stephen D. Dukes
J. Travis Griffith
Guido Hiertz
Christel Hunter
Joseph L. Koepfinger*
Thomas Koshy
John D. Kulick
David J. Law
Joseph Levy
Howard Li
Xiaohui Liu
Kevin Lu
Daleep Mohla
Andrew Myles
Annette D. Reilly
Dorothy Stanley
Sha Wei
Phil Wennblom
Philip Winston
Howard Wolfman
Feng Wu
Jingyi Zhou

*Member Emeritus

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 802.1Qcy-2019, IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks—Bridges and Bridged Networks—Amendment 32: Virtual Station Interface (VSI) Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP) Extension to Support Network Virtualization Overlays over Layer 3 (NVO3).

This amendment to IEEE Std 802.1Q-2018 provides extensions to the Virtual Station Interface (VSI) Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP) to support using the protocol between an end station and a device doing encapsulation/decapsulation for Network Virtualization Overlays Over Layer 3 (NVO3). The extensions include adding format types [e.g., Internet Protocol (IP) addresses] and enhancing indication of migration events.

This standard contains state-of-the-art material. The area covered by this standard is undergoing evolution. Revisions are anticipated within the next few years to clarify existing material, to correct possible errors, and to incorporate new related material. Information on the current revision state of this and other IEEE 802 standards may be obtained from

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854
USA

Contents

Figures

Tables

IEEE Standard for
Local and Metropolitan Area Networks—

Bridges and Bridged Networks

Amendment 32:
Virtual Station Interface (VSI) Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP) Extension to Support Network Virtualization Overlays Over Layer 3 (NVO3)

(This amendment is based on IEEE Std 802.1Q™-2018, as amended by IEEE Std 802.1Qcp™-2018 and IEEE Std 802.1Qcc™-2018.)

NOTE—The editing instructions contained in this amendment define how to merge the material contained therein into the existing base standard and its amendments to form the comprehensive standard.

The editing instructions are shown in ***bold italic***. Four editing instructions are used: change, delete, insert, and replace. ***Change*** is used to make corrections in existing text or tables. The editing instruction specifies the location of the change and describes what is being changed by using ~~strike through~~ (to remove old material) and underscore (to add new material). ***Delete*** removes existing material. ***Insert*** adds new material without disturbing the existing material. Insertions may require renumbering. If so, renumbering instructions are given in the editing instruction. ***Replace*** is used to make changes in figures or equations by removing the existing figure or equation and replacing it with a new one. Editing instructions, change markings, and this NOTE will not be carried over into future editions because the changes will be incorporated into the base standard.[1]

1. Overview

1.3 Introduction

Change the second to last paragraph of 1.3 as follows and renumber the subsequent list items accordingly:

This standard specifies protocols, procedures, and managed objects that

ck) Allow for the filtering and policing of individual traffic streams.
cl) Provide for Network Virtualization Overlays over Layer 3 (NVO3) related port configuration.

[1] Notes in text, tables, and figures are given for information only and do not contain requirements needed to implement the standard.

2. Normative references

Insert the following references into Clause 2 in alphanumeric order:

IETF RFC 7365, Framework for Data Center (DC) Network Virtualization, October 2014.[2]

IETF RFC 8394, Split Network Virtualization Edge (Split-NVE) Control Plane Requirements, May 2018.

[2] IETF documents (e.g., RFCs) are available from the Internet Engineering Task Force (https://tools.ietf.org/html/).

3. Definitions

Change the following definitions of Clause 3 as specified:

3.70 downlink relay port (DRP): A port of an edge relay (ER) that is capable of supporting at least one Virtual Station Interface (VSI). For NVO3 a port of a tNVE that is capable of supporting at least one NVO3 Tenant Station Interface (TSI) (see IETF RFC 8394).

3.255 Station-facing Bridge Port (SBP): A Bridge Port that supports the Edge Virtual Bridging (EVB) status parameters with an EVBMode parameter value of "EVB Bridge". For NVO3 a port of an nNVE that supports VDP.

NOTE—See 40.4.

3.296 Virtual Station Interface (VSI): An interface to a virtual station that is attached to a downlink relay port (DRP) of an edge relay (ER). For NVO3 a VSI is equivalent to an NVO3 Tenant Station Interface (TSI) (see IETF RFC 8394).

Insert the following definitions into Clause 3 in alphabetic order, number them appropriately, and renumber the subsequent terms in the clause accordingly:

3.x Network Virtualization Edge (NVE): A term as defined in IETF RFC 7365.[3]

3.x Network Virtualization Overlays over Layer 3 (NVO3): A framework conforming to IETF RFC 7365.

3.x nNVE: A term as defined in IETF RFC 8394.

3.x tNVE: A term as defined in IETF RFC 8394.

3.x Virtual Network Instance (VNI): A term as defined in IETF RFC 7365.

3.x Virtual Network Instance Identifier (VNI ID): A 3-octet identifier for a VNI.

[3] Information about references can be found in Clause 2.

4. Abbreviations

Insert the following abbreviations into Clause 4 in alphabetic order:

NVE	Network Virtualization Edge
NVO3	Network Virtualization Overlays over Layer 3
VNI	Virtual Network Instance
VNI ID	Virtual Network Instance Identifier

5. Conformance

5.2 Conformant components and equipment

Change 5.2 as follows:

This subclause specifies requirements and options for the following core components:

a) VLAN Bridge component (5.4)
b) MAC Bridge component (5.13)

for the following components that use that core functionality:

c) Customer VLAN (C-VLAN) component (5.5)
d) Service VLAN (S-VLAN) component (5.6)
e) I-component (5.7)
f) B-component (5.8)
g) TPMR component (5.15)
h) T-component (5.17)
i) Edge relay (ER) (5.24.1)

and for the following systems that include instances of the above components:

j) C-VLAN Bridge (5.9)
k) S-VLAN Bridge (5.10.1)
l) Provider Edge Bridge (5.10.2)
m) Backbone Edge Bridge (BEB) (5.12)
n) MAC Bridge (5.14)
o) TPMR (5.16)
p) Edge Virtual Bridging (EVB) Bridge (5.23)
q) EVB station (5.24)
r) TSN CNC station (5.29)
s) VDP-NVO3 (5.31)

NOTE—Both S-VLAN Bridges and Provider Edge Bridges are examples of Provider Bridges.

Insert the following subclause (5.31 and its subclauses) after 5.29 (subclause 5.30 is reserved for a future amendment):

5.31 VDP-NVO3 requirements

In the Split-NVE scenario, the nNVE implements the bridge role VDP and tNVE implements the station role VDP. While the nNVE and tNVE share the VDP functionality of an EVB Bridge and an EVB Station, their conformance requirements are different. This clause lists the conformance requirements for nNVE and tNVE to operate VDP in the Split-NVE scenario.

5.31.1 VDP-NVO3 nNVE requirements

A conformant VDP-NVO3 nNVE implementation shall

a) Support the Bridge role of VDP on each SBP (Clause 41).
b) Support assignment of VIDs to GroupIDs (41.2.9).

A conformant VDP-NVO3 nNVE implementation may

c) Support the functionality of a C-VLAN component (5.5).
d) Support at least one SBP on the C-VLAN component (Clause 40).
e) Support an LLDP nearest Customer Bridge database (Clause 40).
f) Support the EVB status parameters for EVBMode = NVO3 Mode (40.4.4) and NVERole = nNVE (40.5.1).
g) Support the EVB Bridge status parameters about IPv4 and IPv6 address capability (D.2.12.3).
h) Support the EVB TLV on each SBP (D.2.12).
i) Support ECP on each SBP (Clause 43).
j) Support the use of the M, S and N bits in VDP (41.2.3).
k) Support the use of IP addresses in VDP filter info format (41.2.9).

5.31.2 VDP-NVO3 tNVE requirements

A conformant VDP-NVO3 tNVE implementation shall

a) Support the station role of VDP for each URP (Clause 41).
b) Support assignment of VIDs to GroupIDs (41.2.9).

A conformant VDP-NVO3 tNVE implementation may

c) Support one ER (5.23.1, Clause 40).
d) Support an LLDP nearest Customer Bridge database (Clause 40).
e) Support the EVB status parameters for EVBMode = NVO3 Mode (40.4.4) and NVERole = tNVE (40.5.2).
f) Support the EVB station status parameters about IPv4 and IPv6 address capability (D.2.12.4).
g) Support the EVB TLV on each URP (D.2.12).
h) Support ECP on each URP (Clause 43).
i) Support the use of the M, S and N bits in VDP (41.2.3).
j) Support the use of IP addresses in VDP filter info format (41.2.9).

12. Bridge management

12.3 Data types

Change 12.3 as follows:

This subclause specifies the semantics of operations independent of their encoding in management protocol. The data types of the parameters of operations are defined only as required for that specification.

The following data types are used:

a) Boolean.
b) Enumerated, for a collection of named values.
c) Unsigned, for all parameters specified as "the number of" some quantity, and for spanning tree priority values that are numerically compared. When comparing spanning tree priority values, the lower number represents the higher priority value.
d) MAC address.
e) Latin1 String, as defined by ANSI X3.159, for all text strings.
f) Time Interval, an Unsigned value representing a positive integral number of seconds, for all spanning tree protocol timeout parameters.
g) Counter, for all parameters specified as a "count" of some quantity. A counter increments and wraps with a modulus of 2 to the power of 64.
h) MRP Time Interval, an Unsigned value representing a positive integral number of centiseconds, for all MRP timeout parameters.
i) Port Number, an Unsigned value assigned to a Port as part of a Port Identifier. Valid Port Numbers are in the range 1 through 4095.
j) Port Priority, an Unsigned value used to represent the priority component of a Port Identifier. Valid Port Priorities are in the range 0 through 240, in steps of 16.
k) Bridge Priority, an Unsigned value used to represent the priority component of a Bridge Identifier. Valid Bridge Priorities are in the range 0 through 61440, in steps of 4096.
l) ComponentID, an unsigned value used to uniquely identify the management objects for a particular VLAN Bridge component (122, Clause 8, 5.4) within a system (such as a BEB) comprising multiple such components. ComponentIDs start at 1 and go through 4294967295. If the system has a single component it will have a ComponentID equal to 1.
m) ComponentType, an enumerated list used to classify a particular VLAN Bridge component within a system comprising multiple components.
n) Port Index, a handle, unique within a system, that identifies a port.
o) PIP Index, a Port Index for a PIP.
p) Percentage.
q) ECT-ALGORITHM. A 4-byte unsigned identifier. Used as a worldwide unique definition of an Equal Cost Tree (ECT) Algorithm, the first 3 bytes are expected to be taken from the OUI or CID space for the organization that has defined the algorithm. The last byte is allocated by that organization.
r) SPSourceID. A 20-bit Unsigned identifier. Used to represent a node uniquely within an SPT Domain (27.10).
s) Timer exp, an unsigned value from 0–31 representing a positive integer for the exponent of 2, which forms the multiplier of 10 µs, used for EVB protocol timeout parameters.

NOTE—For example, a value of 4 represents $2^4 \times 10$ µs, or 160 µs.

t) Boolean array, an array of Boolean values.
u) IP Address, an IPv4 address, IPv6 address, or null for no IP address.

12.26 Edge Virtual Bridging (EVB) management

12.26.3 VSI table entry

Change 12.26.3 as follows:

Each EVB system maintains a table of the active VSIs. The structure of a VSI table entry is shown in Table 12-25. This read-only table provides the current operation parameters of each VSI along with the VDP state associated with the VSI. The table is keyed on the SBP's or URP's ComponentID and Port Number and on the VSIID. The operation that can be performed on the VSI table is as follows:

a) Read entry for a ComponentID, Port Number and VSIID

Table 12-25—VSI table entry

Name	Data type	Operations supported[a]	Conformance[b]	References
evbVsiComponentID	ComponentID	R	BE	12.4.1.5
evbVsiPortNumber	Port Number	R	BE	12.4.2
evbVsiIDType	enumerated	R	BE	41.2.6, Table 41-5
evbVsiID	Latin1 String (SIZE(16))	R	BE	41.2.7
evbVsiTimeSinceCreate	time interval	R	BE	Clause 41
evbVsiVdpOperCmd	enumerated	R	BE	41.2.1, Table 41-1
evbVsiOperRevert	Boolean	R	BE	41.2.3
evbVsiOperHard	Boolean	R	BE	41.2.3
evbVsiOperReason	unsigned (0..15)	R	BE	41.2.3
evbVsiMgrID	Latin1 String (SIZE(1))	R	BE	41.1.3
evbVsiType	Latin1 String (SIZE(3))	R	BE	41.2.9
evbVsiTypeVersion	Latin1 String (SIZE(1))	R	BE	41.2.10
evbVsiMvFormat	Latin1 String (SIZE(1))	R	BE	41.2.8
evbVsiNumMACs	unsigned	R	BE	41.2.9
evbVdpMachineState	enumerated	R	BE	41.5.5.14
evbVdpCmdsSucceeded	counter	R	BE	41.5
evbVdpCmdsFailed	counter	R	BE	41.5
evbVdpCmdsReverts	counter	R	BE	41.5

[a] R = Read-only access; RW = Read/Write access.
[b] B = Required for an EVB Bridge system; E = Required for an EVB station system.

Each EVB Bridge or EVB station maintains a table of the VID/MACs on each VSI. The structure of a VSI MAC/VLAN table entry is shown in Table 12-26. This read-only table provides the current GroupID/VID/MAC assignments for each VSI. The operations that can be performed on the VSI table are as follows:

b) Read entries for a ComponentID, Port Number, and VSIID
c) Read entries for a ComponentID and Port Number

Table 12-26—VSI MAC/VLAN table entry

Name	Data type	Operations supported[a]	Conformance[b]	References
evbMvComponentID	ComponentID	R	BE	12.4.1.3
evbMvPortNumber	Port Number	R	BE	12.4.2
evbMvVsiIDType	enumerated	R	BE	41.2.6, Table 41-5
evbMvVsiID	Latin1 String (SIZE(16))	R	BE	41.2.7
evbMvVsiGroupID	unsigned	R	BE	41.2.9
evbMvVsiVID	unsigned (1..4094)	R	BE	41.2.9
evbMvVsiMAC	MAC address	R	BE	41.2.9
evbMvVsiIpAddress	IP address	R		41.2.9

[a] R= Read-only access; RW = Read/Write access.
[b] B = Required for an EVB Bridge system; E = Required for an EVB station system.

40. Edge Virtual Bridging (EVB)

40.4 EVB status parameters

Change 40.4 as follows:

In EVB Bridges and EVB Stations, an **EVBMode** parameter is associated with each port that provides EVB functionality. The parameter represent the EVB status of the port.

The **EVBMode** parameter determines whether EVB functionality is supported, and in what mode. The parameter can take one of the following ~~three~~ four values:

a) **EVB Bridge.** The port supports the functionality of an EVB Bridge.
b) **EVB station.** The port supports the functionality of an EVB station.
c) **Not Supported.** The port does not support EVB functionality. This value is assumed if the EVB status parameters are not implemented.
d) **NVO3 Mode.** The port supports the revised EVB functionality to be used in NVO3 Split-NVE scenario.

Insert the following subclauses (40.4.4 and 40.5 and its subclauses) after 40.4.3:

40.4.4 EVBMode = NVO3

If the value of the EVBMode parameter is NVO3, then further parameters are available in 40.5.

40.5 EVB Status Parameter for NVO3 Mode Support

In NVO3 Split-NVE scenario, tNVE is located in a station which may not be an EVB Station and nNVE is located in a bridge or router which may not be an EVB Bridge. Hence tNVE and nNVE will be used to refer to EVB Station and EVB Bridge revised to support NVO3.

The parameters of the EVB TLV provide the required parameter list. EVB TLV (Annex D) and status parameters are amended to support NVO3.

When **EVBMode** is set to **NVO3 Mode**, an **NVERole** parameter is to be further inspected. The parameter represent the NVE role of the port.

40.5.1 NVERole = nNVE

If the value of the **NVERole** parameter is **nNVE**, then further parameters are available, as follows:

a) **reflectiveRelayCapable.** This parameter is FALSE in NVO3 as tNVE has no requirement to support reflective relay.

NOTE 1—The value of the reflectiveRelayCapable parameter is an inherent property of the implementation and is not subject to administrative control.

b) **operReflectiveRelayControl**. If this parameter is TRUE, then reflective relay is enabled; if FALSE, reflective relay is disabled. In NVO3 scenario, it is always FALSE since tNVE will not request reflective relay to be enabled.

NOTE 2—Reflective relay is enabled if a remote EVB station has requested that it be provided (as determined by protocol exchanges between the EVB station and EVB Bridge) and the EVB Bridge is capable of providing it, or disabled if the EVB station has not requested that it be provided or the EVB Bridge is not capable of providing it.

c) **BGID**. This parameter is set to TRUE which indicates that the nNVE wishes to control VID assignments and use the GroupID in VDP exchanges.

NOTE 3—GroupID in VDP is equivalent to VNI ID in NVO3 which is a mandatory parameter to be supported. Both bridge and station sides support it.

40.5.2 NVERole = tNVE

If the value of the **EVBMode** parameter is **tNVE**, then further parameters are available, as follows:

a) **adminReflectiveRelayRequest**. This parameter is set to FALSE which indicates the attached nNVE is requested to disable reflective relay.
b) **operReflectiveRelayStatus**. This parameter can be FALSE or Unknown.

NOTE 1—The value of operReflectiveRelayStatus indicates whether the nNVE has enabled reflective relay, or whether the nNVE status is not currently known, as determined by protocol exchanges between the tNVE and nNVE. The nNVE status can be unknown during initialization or until the protocol exchanges have completed. However The nNVE status cannot be reflective relay enabled.

c) **SGID**. This parameter is set to TRUE which indicates that the tNVE can support the use of the GroupID.

NOTE 2—GroupID in VDP is equivalent to VNI ID in NVO3 which is a mandatory parameter to be supported. Both bridge and station sides should support it.

41. VSI Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP)

Change the introductory text of Clause 41 as follows:

VDP associates (registers) a VSI instance with an Station-facing Bridge Port (SBP) of an EVB Bridge. VDP simplifies and automates virtual station configuration by enabling the movement of a VSI instance (and its related VSI Type information) from one virtual station to another or from one EVB Bridge to another. VDP supports VSI discovery and configuration across a channel interconnecting an EVB station and an EVB Bridge. VDP TLVs are exchanged between the station and the Bridge in support of this protocol.

This subclause defines the VDP TLV structure and state machines.

When VDP is used between EVB Station and EVB Bridge, VDP uses ECP (Clause 43) as a transport protocol for VDP TLV exchanges. When ECP is used as a transport protocol for VDP, ECP uses the Nearest Customer Bridge group MAC address (Table 8-1) as the destination address for ECPDUs. Three VDP TLVs are defined as follows:

a) The VSI manager ID TLV (41.1). There is a single instance of this TLV in any ECPDU that carries VDP, and it appears as the first TLV in the ECPDU.
b) The VDP association TLV (41.2). One or more of these TLVs can appear in any ECPDU, following the VSI manager ID TLV.
c) The organizationally defined TLV (41.3).

~~When ECP is used as a transport protocol for VDP, ECP uses the Nearest Customer Bridge group MAC address (Table 8-1) as the destination address for ECPDUs.~~

NOTE 1—If there are multiple VSI managers, then their TLVs are transmitted in separate ECPDUs.

NOTE 2—Beyond the requirement stated, that the VSI manager ID TLV appears as the first TLV in ECPDUs carrying VDP, there are no further constraints placed upon how an implementation chooses to pack VDP TLVs into an ECPDU.

NOTE 3—VDP TLVs are not LLDP TLVs, and the TLV type values used in VDP TLVs are assigned from a distinct number space from those used in LLDP TLVs.

When VDP is used between tNVE and nNVE in NVO3 Split-NVE scenario, VDP may use ECP (Clause 43) as a transport protocol for VDP TLV exchanges. When ECP is used as a transport protocol for VDP in NVO3, ECP uses a specific unicast MAC address or the Nearest Customer Bridge group MAC address (Table 8-1) as the destination address for ECPDUs. The VDP TLVs used between a tNVE and nNVE are as follows:

d) The VDP association TLV (41.2). One or more of these TLVs can appear in any ECPDU or other transport protocol.
e) The organizationally defined TLV (41.3).

41.2 VDP association TLV definitions

41.2.3 Status

Change 41.2.3 as follows:

The Status field contains a 4-bit error type, encoded in bits 1–4, and four individual Boolean flags, encoded in bits 5–8.

For all requests, the error type field is reserved for future standardization; it is transmitted as 0x0 and is ignored on receipt.

For all requests, the Boolean flags are interpreted as shown in Table 41-2.

Table 41-2—Flag values in VDP requests

Name	Bit position	Interpretation
M-bit	Bit 5	Indicates that the user of the VSI (e.g., the virtual station) is migrating (M-bit = 1) or provides no guidance on the migration of the user of the VSI (M-bit = 0). The M-bit is used as an indicator relative to the VSI to which the user is migrating.
S-bit	Bit 6	Indicates that the VSI user (e.g., the virtual station) is suspended (S-bit = 1) or provides no guidance about whether the user of the VSI is suspended (S-bit = 0). A keep-alive Associate request with S-bit = 1 can be sent when the VSI user is suspended. The S-bit is used as an indicator relative to the VSI that the user is migrating from.
Req/Ack	Bit 7	Set to 0 to indicate that the TLV contains a request.
N-bit ~~Reserved~~	Bit 8	Indicates that the user of the VSI is NOT migrating (N-bit = 1) or provides no guidance on the migration of the user of the VSI (N-bit = 0). ~~Reserved for future standardization.~~
NOTE 1—The M-bit is restored to 0 when migration has stopped, either because the migration has succeeded, or it has failed. The S-bit is restored to 0 when the VSI user is no longer suspended. NOTE 2—Interpretation of M and N bits is described in the following table:		

M bit	N bit	Interpretation
0	0	No guidance on the migration of the user of the VSI
0	1	User of the VSI (e.g., the virtual station) is NOT migrating
1	0	User of the VSI (e.g., the virtual station) is migrating
1	1	Reserved

For all responses, the value of the error type indicates the outcome of the request, as shown in Table 41-3, and the Boolean flags are interpreted as shown in Table 41-4.

Table 41-3—Error types in VDP responses

Name	Value	Interpretation
Success	0x0	The VDP Request was successfully completed by the Bridge.
Invalid Format	0x1	The VDP TLV format is invalid.
Insufficient Resources	0x2	The Bridge does not have enough resources to complete the VDP operation successfully.
Unable to contact VSI manager	0x3	The Bridge was unable to contact the VSI manager.
Other failure	0x4	The operation failed for some other reason.
Invalid VID, GroupID, or MAC address	0x5	The operation failed because the VID, GroupID, or MAC address was invalid.
Reserved	0x6–0xF	Reserved for future standardization.
NOTE—"Success" is only interpreted as success by the state machines if all of the flag bits (Table 41-4) are zero.		

Table 41-4—Flag values in VDP responses

Name	Bit position	Interpretation
Hard error	Bit 5	Set to 1 to indicate that the operation failed, and if the same operation is re-tried, it is likely to fail in the same way.
Keep	Bit 6	Set to 1 to indicate that the command was rejected and the state prior to the requested command has been kept.
Req/Ack	Bit 7	Set to 1 to indicate that the TLV contains a response.
Reserved	Bit 8	Reserved for future standardization.

41.2.8 Filter Info format

Change Table 41-6 as follows:

Table 41-6—Filter Info format values

Format	Value
VID (41.2.9.1)	0x01
MAC/VID (41.2.9.2)	0x02
GroupID/VID (41.2.9.3)	0x03
GroupID/MAC/VID (41.2.9.4)	0x04
GroupID/VID/IPv4 (41.2.9.5)	0x05
GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 (41.2.9.6)	0x06
GroupID/VID/IPv6 (41.2.9.7)	0x07
GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 (41.2.9.8)	0x08
Reserved for future standardization	0x00, 0x09~~5~~ through 0xFF

41.2.9 Filter Info field

Change 41.2.9 as follows:

The Filter Info field contains information from which a filter can be constructed. The filter is a set of VID values or a set of MAC/VID values. The MAC address in a MAC/VID value is an individual MAC address. The filter is applied to traffic transiting ports that do not have direct knowledge of the associated VSI, such as an EVB SBP, in order to identify the traffic associated with a particular VSI. This allows such ports to apply a VSI Type to the traffic of an individual VSI. Other devices that have direct knowledge of the traffic associated with a VSI, for example devices that form a 1:1 relationship between a port and VSI, simply provide this information via management interfaces.

The Filter Info field can also contain information that is not part of the filter. In particular, the Filter Info field can contain GroupID values. Like the VID, the GroupID identifies a VLAN. When the number of VLANs in the network is less than 4095, each VLAN can be assigned a VID value that is global within the network. When the number of VLANs in the network exceeds 4094, a globally-scoped VID can no longer be

used to uniquely identify each VLAN. Instead, overlapping VIDs may be used in different regions of the network, and a per-region mapping between the global VLAN and the region-specific VID is maintained. In this case, the VLAN is uniquely and globally identified by a GroupID.

When VLANs are identified by GroupID, the station has knowledge of the GroupID but it does not, in general, know the corresponding VID to be used by traffic associated with the VLAN. The Bridge is aware of, or can obtain knowledge of, the VID associated with the specified GroupID. Thus, the station can send GroupID values to the Bridge via the Filter Info field of the VDP Request. The Bridge can map GroupID values to local VID values. The VID is included in the filter constructed by the Bridge and is returned with its corresponding GroupID to the station via the VDP Response.

In the NVO3 Split-NVE scenario, the VNI ID is carried in the lower 3 octets of the GroupID. The upper octet of the GroupID should be all zeros.

NOTE 1—The mechanism by which the EVB Bridge determines the GroupID to local VID association is outside the scope of this standard.

Additionally, the Filter Info field of a VDP TLV in a VDP Response can specify a Priority Code Point (PCP) value associated with any, or all, of the VID values carried by that VDP Response. The PCP value, if specified, is used by the EVB station as the default PCP value associated with the VSI and VID. The Filter Info field contains a PCP Significant (PS) bit associated with each PCP field, indicating whether the PCP field carries a PCP value (binary 1) or does not carry a PCP value (binary 0). If the PCP field carries a PCP value, then the EVB station can adopt that value as the default PCP value associated with the VSI and VID. When sending data frames associated with a given VSI and VID, the EVB station can determine the PCP value associated with each frame by using an algorithm local to the EVB station. For example, the PCP value can be based on the identity of an application associated with the frame as determined by examining higher layer information. For any given frame, it is possible that the algorithm does not provide a specific value of PCP. In such cases, the PCP field is assigned the value of the default PCP associated with the VSI and VID.

NOTE 2—Specification of a PCP value in the VDP Response does not imply that all frames sent by the EVB station, associated with the VSI and VID, carry the specified PCP. It implies only that, if the EVB station has no other information regarding the PCP value that should appear in that particular frame, then the specified default PCP value is used.

Insert after 41.2.9.4 the following subclauses (41.2.9.5 through 41.2.9.8, including Figure 41-7 through Figure 41-10), and renumber the subsequent figures in this clause:

41.2.9.5 GroupID/VID/IPv4 Filter Info format

The GroupID/VID/IPv4 Filter Info format indicates that the Format Info field specifies a sequence of GroupID/VID/IPv4 triples to be associated with the VSI instance (41.2.7).

Figure 41-7 illustrates the GroupID/VID/IPv4 Filter Info format of the Filter Info field.



Figure 41-7—GroupID/VID/IPv4 filter format

The number of GroupID/VID/IPv4 triples is specified by the Number of entries field.

The null VID (see Table 9-2) can be used in a GroupID/VID/IPv4 triple when the GroupID/VID/IPv4 filter format is specified in the VDP Request. In this case, the Bridge is expected to supply the corresponding local VID value in the VDP Response. For this purpose, the Bridge maintains, or has access to, the mapping between GroupID and local VID.

41.2.9.6 GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 Filter Info format

The GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 Filter Info format indicates that the Format Info field specifies a sequence of GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 values to be associated with the VSI instance (41.2.7).

Figure 41-8 illustrates the GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 Filter Info format of the Filter Info field.



Figure 41-8—GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 filter format

The number of GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 values is specified by the Number of entries field. The null VID (see Table 9-2) can be used in a GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 value when the GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv4 filter format is specified in the VDP Request. In this case, the Bridge is expected to supply the corresponding local VID value in the VDP Response. For this purpose, the Bridge maintains, or has access to, the mapping between GroupID and local VID.

41.2.9.7 GroupID/VID/IPv6 Filter Info format

The GroupID/VID/IPv6 Filter Info format indicates that the Format Info field specifies a sequence of GroupID/VID/IPv6 triples to be associated with the VSI instance (41.2.7).

Figure 41-9 illustrates the GroupID/VID/IPv6 Filter Info format of the Filter Info field.



Figure 41-9—GroupID/VID/IPv6 filter format

The number of GroupID/VID/IPv6 triples is specified by the Number of entries field.

The null VID (see Table 9-2) can be used in a GroupID/VID/IPv6 triple when the GroupID/VID/IPv6 filter format is specified in the VDP Request. In this case, the Bridge is expected to supply the corresponding local VID value in the VDP Response. For this purpose, the Bridge maintains, or has access to, the mapping between GroupID and local VID.

41.2.9.8 GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 Filter Info format

The GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 Filter Info format indicates that the Format Info field specifies a sequence of GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 values to be associated with the VSI instance (41.2.7).

Figure 41-10 illustrates the GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 Filter Info format of the Filter Info field.



Figure 41-10—GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 filter format

The number of GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 values is specified by the Number of entries field. The null VID (see Table 9-2) can be used in a GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 value when the GroupID/MAC/VID/IPv6 filter format is specified in the VDP Request. In this case, the Bridge is expected to supply the corresponding local VID value in the VDP Response. For this purpose, the Bridge maintains, or has access to, the mapping between GroupID and local VID.

Change the title of 41.2.10 as follows:

41.2.10 VDP TLV type and ~~S~~status semantics

Change 41.2.10.1 and 41.2.10.2 as follows:

41.2.10.1 Pre-Associate

The Pre-Associate TLV type is used to pre-associate a VSI instance with a Bridge Port. The Bridge validates the request (see below) and returns a failure Status in case of errors. Successful pre-association does not imply that the VSI Type will be applied to any traffic flowing through the VSI. The pre-associate enables faster response to an associate by allowing the Bridge to obtain the VSI Type prior to an association.

The station can send Pre-Associate TLV to roll back the station and bridge from associated to pre-associate status.

NOTE—If the VSI Type changes without a corresponding change to its version, then inconsistent behavior can result.

41.2.10.2 Pre-Associate with Resource Reservation

Pre-Associate with Resource Reservation involves the same steps as Pre-Associate (41.2.10.1), but on successful pre-association also reserves resources in the Bridge to prepare for a subsequent Associate request.

The station can send Pre-Associate with Resource Reservation TLV to roll back the station and bridge from associated to pre-associate with Resource Reservation status.

Annex A

(normative)

PICS proforma—Bridge implementations[4]

A.5 Major capabilities

Insert the following rows at the end of the table in A.5:

Item	Feature	Status	References	Support
VDPnNVE	Does the implementation support the VDP NVO3 functionality of an nNVE?	O	5.31.1	Yes [ ] No [ ]
VDPtNVE	Does the implementation support the VDP NVO3 functionality of a tNVE?	O	5.31.2	Yes [ ] No [ ]

Insert the following subclauses (A.51 and A.52) after A.50:

A.51 VDP for NVO3 nNVE Devices

Item	Feature	Status	Reference	Support
	If VDP-NVO3 nNVE functionality (VDP-NVO3-nNVE in Table A.5) is not supported, mark N/A and ignore the remainder of this table.			N/A [ ]
VDP-nNVE-1	Does the implementation support the Bridge role of VDP on each SBP?	M	5.31.1,41	Yes [ ]
VDP-nNVE-2	Does the implementation support the Bridge VDP state machine as specified in Clause 41?	M	Clause 41, 41.5.2	Yes [ ]
VDP-nNVE-3	Does the implementation support assignment of VIDs to GroupIDs?	M	5.31.1, 41.2.9	Yes [ ]
VDP-nNVE-4	Does the implementation support at least one SBP on the nNVE?	M	5.31.1, 40	Yes [ ]
VDP-nNVE-5	Does the implementation support an LLDP nearest Customer Bridge database including the EVB TLV on each SBP?	O	5.31.1, D.2.12	Yes [ ] No [ ]
VDP-nNVE-6	Does the implementation support the EVB status parameters for EVBMode = NVO3 and NVERole = nNVE for the nNVE role?	O	5.31.1, 40.4, 40.5	Yes [ ] No [ ]

[4] *Copyright release for PICS proformas:* Users of this standard may freely reproduce the PICS proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purpose and may further publish the completed PICS.